The power source(s) of the 9/11 event



1 Energy

The observed or suspected 9/11 energy sources were:

- 1. impacting planes 2. burning jet fuel
- 3. explosives / incendiaries
- 4. radiation / electromagnetic weapons 5. nuclear process in the rockbed under the Towers

The sum of these energies must be higher than the energy needed for the Towers' destruction...

...and must also explain the side effects on site!

Energy is measured in Joules



25 g chocolate = 500 kJ

1 million kg of TNT = 1 kt TNT = 4.1 10¹² Joule

Duration of energy release

biology: several hours

bombs: fractions of a millisecond (left: 8 kt)




Example 4 of 6: Umbrella (water dome)

Nuclear Explosions



8 kt yield, 1958, depth of detonation: 46 m (sea floor)



A side note: energy & speed



0.25 s drop — 2.5 m/s

1% of the speed is absolutely harmless. Why?

energy : speed relation is not linear: $E \sim V^2$

100 times the speed means 10,000 times the energy!

water: smooth or inflexible?

for stone skipping a question of speed!



Note: neutron speed Thermal neutron:


A thermal neutron is a free neutron with a kinetic energy of about 0.025 eV (2.2 km/s)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron temperature

uranium nuclei: fissible or inflexible? for neutrons a question of speed!

Fast neutron:

A fast neutron is a free neutron with a kinetic energy level close to 1 MeV (14,000 km/s)




Thermal neutron, Fast neutrons, as needed for fission

useless for fission

2 Nature as the best mentor

What business has a bee sitting directly next to the nozzle of a small fountain?



It escaped drowning:

the socket holding the nozzle is the safest place!

It will not be captured by the water jet – and it will not be hit by the falling water droplets

This is an example for a "seemingly impossible" save place – next to the upshooting material


How does a waterspout fountain work?


Fountain = free fall of an object, which has a constant initial speed upwards

even the rising water jet is in free fall


after a short time when reaching the peak the vertical speed is zero; v = 0

water pressure is transformed into speed and direction (momentum)









Not all see the fountain in the photo above!



Millions of people will exclaim when seeing this photo:


"That's the proof, Osama bin Laden did it!"

"I see the collapse of a building, the exploding jet fuel and the (due to the collapse emerging) airpressure pulverizes everything — that's just the way things must look like."


",,what-do-l-see" ≠ ",what-explanation-was-given"

4 What did we hear?

Magma breaks through the rock ceiling (rubble): Fan-shaped eruption




Magma breaks through the rock ceiling (enclosed): Jet-like eruption (focused beam)



Amount of ejected gas estimable, simplyfied:

Base x height of the gas column



Observation (audio sample as video):

roaring gas jets; est. 35,000 m³



– acoustically the roar of the North Tower is not distinguishable of a focused gas jet eruption!




At the Memorial Pools:

a sort of eruption channel is artfully displayed in the Memorial Pools as a pit



Assumption: this is no coincidence!





Silverstein Family Park




The emperor Nero phenomena:

killing psychopaths love to tell us the truth as "philanthropists" in pieces of art!

WTC 7 (Framing for floor 13)

Study done by A&E:



The centre of heat / deformation in the old WTC 7 was in the area of the supporting column 79



Art:
exactly at that spot a small water
basin is located now, next to the
new WTC 7

Conclusion:

the eruption sound of gas jets — ejected and powered by highly fluid magma chambers from the centre of the Stromboli volcano — is identical to the eruption sound heard during the destruction of the North Tower

we HAVE: violently, vertically upshooting gas jets

5 Silverstein Valley

The understanding of Larry Silverstein's glacier valley with its "as-if-formerly-molten-rock-formations" is the key to understand the power source of 9/11!

Assumption:

the Towers' destruction was a mere SIDE EFFECT of what happened in the rockbed!

90 % of the energy remained in the earth!



in mid 2017 a professor from France mailed me: the energy in the rock explains everything!



Exhorted by the university, a sought prohibition of teaching and exemption from service... but still active

The professor writes about a nuclear PROCESS on 9/11 (not a bomb), nonetheless he assumes [2017] that Silverstein Glacier Valley is a geological formation

World Trade Center: what Power P was emitted into the rock during 3 months? 4.4.3 Estimating amount of heat released

Now, accordingly to equ.4, we just have to multiply the initial heat transfer rate given in equ.20 by the characteristic time given in equ.21 to find an estimate of the total

 $Q_{fc} \approx P_0 \tau$

amount of heat released by free convection:

(22)

 $\approx 7.10^7.10^7$ $\approx 7.10^{14} J$

was released through several mechanisms: free convection in the air, forced convection

However, this simple energetic argument

does *not* prove in itself that the origin of

Let us recall that the total amount of heat

to focus on free convection as it is probably the dominant mechanism in the problem, but each mechanism gives a positive contribution, so we can only underestimate the total value if we take into account only one mechanism. As above, this should be only considered as an indication that the actual value of total heat released at Ground Zero is in the 10¹⁵ J, or peta-

joule, range:

Unite of Energy 1 kt:

the heat at Ground Zero was the explosion of underground nuclear bombs; it just proves that the only known type of energy able to do that was nuclear, not chemical. But as it is quite obvious that only an explosion (and not a progressive release of energy that oc-

curs with a nuclear reactor) could have such

a dramatic effect, and as it is also quite ob-

(distributed = 80 kt per Tower)

entire WTC surface: 240 kt

(water), conduction and radiation. We chose


 $Q_{CZ} \sim 10^{15} \,\mathrm{J}$

Calculated minimal energy input in

(23)

 $=4,1 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ J}$


Formerly semi-liquid granite or glacier valley?




Downwelling or leaching process?



Vitrified vortex or water whirlpool?



Settling down into the cavity or melt water valley?





Concrete cover for sealing the ground or new foundations?



Aquarium originating from 9/11 or >10,000 years old?









Why where hotspots discovered in the glacier valley?

Why were hotspots discovered outside the "Bathtub" (= the protective basin against ground water)?



Why do the hotspots fit on a circular area?



Note 1: South Tower: big energy input = high radiation output, small shockwave

Note 2: North Tower: mediate energy input = mediate radiation output, powerful shockwave

Note 3: Building 7: small energy input = low radiation output, tiny shockwave, misfire ?




Seismic Record at Palisades, NY, 34 km North


of the World Trade Center Disaster



PANIC amidst the PLOTTERS!



Why stayed the ground hot for three months?



Conclusion:

we are fighting against each other, because we focus on different fragments of the energetic riddle


 the fragments of information are still in disorder, distorted or incomplete: they make no sense

- 1. impacting plane
- 2. burning jet fuel
- 3. explosives / incendiaries
- 4. radiation / electromagnetic weapons




6 Radiation weapons

1st generation: nuclear fission only since 1945



2nd generation: nuclear fission and fusion since 1952





Minimal Residual Radiation Weapon

"clean" nuclear MRR weapon

3rd generation: nuclear fission, fusion and reflectors

blue: neutron absorber [boron-10] yellow: neutron reflector [beryllium-9]

green: classical explosives orange: uranium-235 red: neutron source

since 1980 (high neutron / radiation)



strong X-ray radiation)

2nd stage: nuclear fusion

Radiation converter (emits

1st stage: nuclear fission

Reflector section (DEW)

4th generation (lab only): laser induced fusion / antimatter

Assumption: an additional radiation weapon was present what would we observe? Tower 4; 36 m hoch 0 m -25 m -50 m

Inflaming buildings - yes, but only after the blast!



We have to look for camera fails before the blast!

Timeline of registered energetic field effects **Pixel** fails

- EMP shortly before ca. 8:45 the first impact



– Chopper 4 ("silverfishes"): probably interaction of neutrons in the camera

(boiled potato jacket effect)

Hypoderm burns





Chopper 2 ("silverfishes") and interference patterns): probably interaction of neutrons in the camera







- Inflaming cars at the South Tower ca. 9:45

- Camera fails at South Tower





ca. 9:57 - Outflowing yellow glowing metal at the South Tower

The tabooed, simple questions are:

7 Playing with numbers, earning public ridicule

 how much time needed the upshooting material to hit the ground again?

to what height rose the North Tower's fountain?

- what speed had the upshooting material at the exit point on the ground?
- what size had the possible eruption channel?
 what quantity of gasous material had been ejected
- what quantity of gasous material had been ejected out of the North Tower's eruption channel?
 Anyone who poses (or tries to answer) these questions

will be attacked and ridiculed



Height?

Speed?

Energy&momentum?

Gas volume ?


$$s = \frac{1}{2}gt^2$$

$$t = \sqrt[2]{700 \text{ m}}$$

$$t = \sqrt[2]{\frac{700 \text{ m}}{9.81 \text{ m/s}^2}}$$

$$v = g t$$

9.81 m/s² x 8.5 s = 83 m/s



Melt cavity created by a nuclear weapon (in granite)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Underground_nuclear_weapons_testing

Zones in surrounding rock

_	
Name	Radius ^[26]
Melt cavity	4 – 12 m/kt ^{1/3}
Crushed zone	30 – 40 m/kt ^{1/3}
Cracked zone	80 – 120 m/kt ^{1/3}
Zone of irreversible strain	800 – 1100 m/kt ^{1/3}

Radius of the chamber

$$r = 4 \text{ m}$$
 $\cdot \sqrt[3]{\text{yield [kt]}}$

Calculated 9/11 yield, on basis of a magma chamber with radius r = 20 m

yield =
$$\frac{r^3}{4^3} = \frac{8000}{64} = 125 \text{ kt}$$

Where is the hole?

Dimitri Khalezov describes himself as a witness/insider: a 150 kt yield weapon had been used – per tower



Already in 2010 Dimitri Khalezov published his 9/11 analysis

- and was ridiculed

Where is the crater?



Sedan Plowshare Krater @ 100 kt yield Crater: 390 m (width), 100 m (depth)

And nonetheless...

the 100 kt explosion Storax Sedan is even used by **AE911Truth** for comparison!





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OQgVCj7q49o&t=1h6m45s

Impulse (momentum) and energetic characteristics fit!

"compare this to a known explosion"



Contents of the video clip:

Impulse and energetic characteristics are recognized correctly, however the logical conclusion is negated



The nuclear weapon Sedan with 100 kt yield is presented as a "known explosion" (for comparison)




Already in 2006 Richard Gage published his 9/11 analysis

and was ridiculed

The criticism "nutter" turned up from both sides: from circles close to the government and from the supporters of the nuclear hypothesis

Richard Gage refers only to Nano-Thermite used as explosive / incendiary



The Journal of 9/11 Research


9/11 Issues

Yes, there are issues with 9/11!!!

"A time comes when silence is betrayal." - Martin Luther King



email: lisajudy [at] nctv.com or here



Already in 2010 Dr. Judy Wood published her 9/11 analysis

- and was ridiculed

Dr. Judy Wood refers to DEW **Direct Energy Weapons, unknown** to the public, which dustified the **Towers**

She refutes the nuclear hypothesis by using photos

The inner structure of the South Tower is still recognizable (core)





One could enter the South Tower's core directly through the eruption shaft

However, down there it was as hot as in a foundry

Standing structures are still visible

She refutes the hypothesis of explosives in the Towers



Details see: video clip







Explosives are loud – but the buildings simply roared during destruction

Explosives do not cause the inflammation of cars or buildings up to a distance of 100 – 200 m

Explosives do not cause sudden and extreme rust up to a distance of 500 m

at that time a professor from Sweden mailed me:

Chopper 2's EMP signals explain everything!







Jobless, ridiculed, shunned...

The professor assumes the use [at my view non-existing] 0.3 kt Fourth Generation Nuclear Weapon (main problem: the rockbed would not turn hot for so long)

This weapon could fire a nuclear plasma jet out of the earth and be effective for several seconds (before evaporating)

He refers to the [fairly theoretical] work of Andre Gsponer, 2008 https://arxiv.org/pdf/physics/0510071v5.pdf






8 Nature – you explain it all!


an important information fragment hidden in Gabun!

The uranium deposit in Mounana was not only an exceptional natural nuclear reactor

It was at the same time also a nuclear fuel breeder!



In the naturally existing highly concentrated uranium ore a nuclear fission reaction started 2 billion years ago




Natural reactor Oklo (Gabun, Africa)

The biggest of the reactors had a length of 12 m, a width of 18 m and it was between 20 – 50 cm thick.

This natural reactor was moderated by the flow of (ground) water, which resulted in a cycle duration of 2 hours: the fission process started, as soon as water was present and the sandstone contained at least 10% of uranium.


The fission inside the uraninite heated the clay up to a temperature of 400 °C.



Can we speed up the process a bit?

A uranium-thorium sphere with a diameter of 1 m evolves immediately into a small nuclear breeder (producing nuclear fuel)


$$^{232}_{90}\mathrm{Th} \,+\, ^1_0\mathrm{n} \,\longrightarrow\, ^{233}_{90}\mathrm{Th} \, \xrightarrow{\beta^-}_{22,3\,\,\mathrm{min}} \, ^{233}_{91}\mathrm{Pa} \, \xrightarrow{\beta^-}_{26,967\,\,\mathrm{d}} \, ^{233}_{92}\mathrm{U}$$



Water starts the process!

By such a uranium-thorium sphere one can start open fires, kill people and cattle, heat up the ground, generate a magma chamber...

... and the sphere may explode after breeding enough U-233 (bred via thorium-232)



9 Upside down

2009: a nobel peace price for the vision of a world "free of nuclear weapons" — or for a PR lie



reconstruction – not destruction of the weapons was the end result

miniaturization

belittlement

strategic positioning within the EU

We citizens do know only that we don't want these weapons to exist (least of all on our doorstep)

But we cannot tell any more what these nuclear weapons are really capable of: $1^{st} - 4^{th}$ generation of nuclear weapons

Widespread misapprehension:


Nuclear weapon = "fireball"

- you can also get just a vertically upshooting pressure wave
- you can also get just an invisible radiation flash




Example 2 (as of 1970):

rotating uranium disk inside the reactor core: 400 MW pulse



Example 3 (as of 1990): Fast pulse reactor IBR-2, up to 850 MW per pulse

Neutron production economy: pulsed reactor





Time average power: 2 MW

Peak power in pulse: 850 MW

Great fuel economy!

Pulsed reactor source

The devil's trick:

- ... he has forced a technical mind on us!
- ... but the 9/11 device was copied from nature!

... how it could work:

if a beryllium-polluted lump of weapons-grade uranium is activated by an external neutron flash, it will grow hot and start to melt, thus you will get:

- a highly concentrated [clean] uranium pulp
- a growing reflector layer made of beryllium
- if helium nuclei are present, there will be an additional nuclear fusion in the reflector (α + Be)
- at an appropriate stretch of way the material acts
 also as a moderator: formerly fast [useless] neutrons will
 hit the uranium again as thermal neutrons (Tschernobyl effect)

It is not difficult, just a recipe!




Cooking a beef broth you always have the grease swimming on top, not the meat / the bone...

Nuclear physics is not magic, but MADE a secret

0th generation: imperfect fission / fusion

- 1 h "cooking" time
- separation of clean uranium and the "grease"
- extreme γ radiation (α + Be fusion)
- neutrons reflected & slowed down: fizzle explosion guaranteed !!!



Our model

Ignition due to the "Tschernobyl effect" (positive feedback)

The system is under control: Ignition (Fizzle):

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Void coefficient

neutrons are reflected back

neutrons are slow (= thermal,

fast neutrons escape

as required for nuclear fission)

Ignition after reaching the critical distance (ideal thermal neutron flux)

due to buoyancy: the plasmatic needle is pushing upwards (liquid dome)

The top winner

...replaces the high-tech model of 3rd / 4th generation nukes

length of process: 1 hour method of destruction: hard γ -radiation + gas eruption method of containment of the nuclear fuel: rockbed source of γ -radiation heliumnuclei + beryllium criticality achieved: via uranium-235 Ignition (fizzle): positive feedback of thermal neutron flux after downwelling of the

concentrated

uranium pulp

415 m ... it is after all quite primitive - but effective ... it can be regarded as relatively "clean" - due to the downwelling of the propelling charge (uranium) Tower 4: height: 36 m $0 \, \mathrm{m}$ -25 m -50 m


10 Step by step: 9/11


A simple model is presented, which answers the most important questions coherently and explains:


- why is the cavity / the crater missing?
- why were the seismic recordings of low intensity?
- why were there no reports of radioactivity?


where did all the dust come from ?


- why didn't we hear any explosions during the collapse?
- why did WTC 5 and WTC 6, as well as many cars parked near Ground Zero suddenly catch fire ?























































Observation


- under the rubble of WTC1/WTC2
 a prolonged depression is uncovered
- deepest pothole at 30 m below street level, directly next to the South Tower
- Silverstein propagandizes the structure as an old, geological glacier valley

Interpretation, step 20

semi-liquid rock was caving in (yellow marked vicinity) and filled the cavities

potholes were discovered parallel to the old subway tunnels


brushing of the rocks and pouring concrete on the floor are decontamination efforts



Interpretation, step 20

At 30 m below street level the semi-liquid granite started to sink in and to fill the cavities of the explosion







Interpretation, step 20

At 30 m below street level the semi-liquid granite started to sink in – and created Silverstein Valley next to the Twin Towers










Observation

Interpretation, step 22

a specific wavelength can be absorbed by iron and concrete

Distance (atom's nucleous - bonding electrons)

destruction of the lattice structure by hard radiation





Interpretation, step 23 focused radiation flash

focused radiation flash / pulse (collimator tunnel effect)

eruption shaft closed itself after the eruption (by debris)

Observation

- thermally active zones for three months (rising steam everywhere, like on a volcano)
- inside the Tower's core still standing structures were discovered, easily accessible by rope





isolated pockets of liquid metal

processes of radioactive decay did release energy for many weeks





Interpretation, step 24

significant residual radiation inside and near the Bathtub

destruction of the iron lattice structure on the surface

decontamination efforts








presence of uranium and thorium confirmed

Chemistry Table 1, continued									
ppm - parts per million	minimum	maximum	mean*						
Thorium ppm	5.36	30.7	9.31						
Uranium ppm	1.96	7.57	3.29						

Source: https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/ofr-01-0429/chem1/WTCchemistrytable.html


Observation

- strong increase in cancers, especially a pronounced peak of thyroid cancer cases
- uranium, thorium and the fission products are listed in the element analysis



Interpretation, step 25

radioactive iodine present (uranium fission product)





2,000 9/11 cancer deaths 8,000 9/11 cancer cases 70,000 people need medical care because of 9/11

The image on the left

the water basin next to the new Building 7; Silverstein Family Park

Check for small "silver fishes" pixel failures (full screen mode)

The radioactive cauldron next to WTC 7



11 Censorship and self-censorship

The technique of supressing information is especially perfidious as self-censorship within groups, which did engage in a mission to uncover the truth

Lack of knowledge and false statements lead to a state of complete confusion

If this mix of false statements and lies is propagated by leading and thoroughly trusted institutions, the correct interpretation of an event is made nearly impossible


Example of standard errors / misinformation

partly taken from the Letter, by Dr. Steven E. Jones, 28 Sept 2006 Hard-Evidence-Rebudiates-the-Hypothesis-that-Mini-Nukes-were-used-on-the-wtc-towers



- no vertical energy flux / no vertically rising masses of air over Building 7
- the buildings did break up, they did not turn to dust
- iron samples not radioactive = no nuclear weapon
- no EMP was registered
- cancer was caused by toxic fumes only
- no crater on site = no nuclear weapon applied
- nuclear fusion is impossible at room temperature

No vertical energy flux / no vertically rising masses of air over Building 7 ?



The mushroom cloud over building 7 rose within only 60 seconds more that 1 mile into the sky!

The buildings did break up, they did not turn to dust?









We had extreme dustification!

Iron samples not radioactive = no nuclear weapon?

The nucleus of iron is among the most stable in nature, all elements seek to get to a iron/nickel nucleus

Iron scatters neutrons effectively, however it does not absorb them readily

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_cross_section

Cross Sections		Thermal cross section (barn)			Fast cross section (barn)		
[Wirkungsquerschnitte]		Scattering	Capture	Fission	Scattering	Capture	Fission
Moderator	Fe-56	10	2	-	20	0.003	-
<u>'</u>	i	i		i	i		

Even after neutron absorption the resulting isotope is likely to be stable



ONE analysis of some iron samples of ONE professor cannot be the basis for a general 9/11 assessment!

no EMP was registered? www.youtube.com/watch?v=007pcpMihSY&t=1h20m20s

Chopper 2 registered three strong impulses (WTC1)

Cancer was caused by toxic fumes only?

Δ

https://www.911cancerclaim.com/most-common-911-cancers-illnesses/911-thyroid-cancer/

9/11

TURLEY HANSEN & ROSASCO, LLP

VICTIM COMPENSATION



9/11 Thyroid Cancer

Thyroid cancer is one of the most common 9/11 cancers among responders, downtown workers and residents. Turley Hansen represents many clients with thyroid cancer before the 9/11 Victim Compensation Fund.

The significant peak in thyroid cancer cases is a clear signature of radioactive iodine (via uranium fission)

Radioactivity was "no issue" after 9/11?

In respect to radioactivity we could observe a special uptightness of the authorities

Amongst others there were exercises and protective measures such as the "Ring Of Protection", temporarily over 4,000 first responders were deployed to track it







Therefore, a rapid alert system was put into place which monitored all information about radioactivity


Map of the expected fallout, on basis of the dust cloud



no crater on site = no nuclear weapon applied?

The crater is created by the extreme pressure of the explosion, which takes place within one microsecond (2nd generation of a thermonuclear device)

If the radiation energy is released slowly, the pressure wave is diminuished significantly (neutron bomb)



As a matter of principle a deuterium/tritium fusion can go on indefinitely, as long as temperature, pressure and nuclear fuel density are within the needed limits

yes, this is how a standard artificial neutron source works!

nuclear fusion at room temperature?

$${}^4_2\text{He} + {}^9_4\text{Be} \quad \rightarrow \quad {}^{13}_6\text{C}^* \rightarrow \quad {}^{12}_6\text{C} + {}^1_0\text{n} + \gamma$$
 Commonly used is a mixture of radium, polonium and americium:

to have a [radioactive] α–particle source in the laboratory

With Beryllium added, the emitted 5 MeV α, particles

With Beryllium added, the emitted 5 MeV $\alpha-$ particles impact into the Beryllium nucleus = fusion occurs

Helium: innocuous Beryllium: innocuous γ -radiation: useful for the 9/11 meltup γ Although the single impacting particle HAS an energy which would correspond to a million degree Celsius, all other atoms remain AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

only the political will is needed...

... and more courage than the venerated institutions show in this video (NIST, AIA, universities,)




The mindset is crucial for achieving true liberty: submission leads to slavery!

12 Building 7

- no energetic field effects (cars / cameras)?

• no horizontal pyroclastic precursor ?








- no vertical updraft / mushroom cloud ?
- no long lasting heat input in the ground?
- no radiation shield (artistic water fountain) ?








Closing words

The original presentation [held in Zürich, 2018] also contained information about nuclear blackmail, capital fascism, the essence of money and the spirit of evil as a source of power: these topics will not be presented today.



However, all these things will come to light – if we assume our responsibility: both on a personal and on a collective level



"We scientists recognize our inescapable responsibility to carry to our fellow citizens an understanding of the simple facts of atomic energy and its implications for society."

"In this lies our only security and our only hope — we believe that an informed citizenry will act for life and not death "

Albert Einstein (1879-1955)



Thank you!

Published under 'U.S. Code Title 17 section 107: FAIR USE Act'. The views expressed in this article are those of the author only